Thirteen People Under One Roof. Thirteen Different Worlds.

Human Becoming Journal™ · November 2026

Final Human Becoming Journal™ feature | The uniqueness of human construction

By Grandmaster Ralph Anthony Kemmerlin, Sr.
Master Conflictologist | Founder, CON360MASTERY™

Original webpage: https://con360mastery.com/journal/thirteen-people-under-one-roof-thirteen-different-worlds

The family address did not tell the whole story

I have been thinking about the remarkable distance between sharing a house and sharing a life. Children can sleep under the same roof, hear the same adults, attend the same family gatherings, and still carry home different memories of what it meant to live there. One child remembers laughter. Another remembers waiting for the next argument. A third remembers responsibility. A fourth remembers the freedom of being overlooked. Their stories may disagree about the facts, but the differences in what they noticed and learned deserve investigation. That is where the study of Human Construction begins.

I was the seventh of eleven children. That position did not make my experience more important than anyone else's. It made it mine. The older children knew a household before I entered it. The younger children entered a household already influenced by the rest of us. My parents were growing older as we were growing up. Economic pressures, relationships, discipline, opportunity, and disappointment did not stand still. Even when the family name and address remained the same, the developmental conditions of each child were changing. I cannot responsibly tell my siblings what their childhood felt like merely because I lived in the same home.

Imagine a disagreement at the dinner table. One child notices the raised voice. Another notices the silence afterward. Another watches the face of a parent. Another concentrates on finishing a meal without being noticed. Years later, each may describe the evening differently. This does not prove that every memory is accurate. It proves that a common event is not the same thing as a common point of view. The distinction matters whenever we attempt to explain why adults react differently to criticism, affection, authority, disappointment, or conflict.

The clock is equal; the experience is not

We measure a year in months, weeks, and days. We measure a day in 1,440 minutes and 86,400 seconds. These numbers are indifferent to who is alive, who is grieving, who is celebrating, and who is struggling to make it through the next hour. The clock is a fair accountant of standard elapsed time,

but a poor witness to the meaning of a human life. A minute spent hearing a child's first words is not psychologically interchangeable with a minute spent waiting for news from an emergency room. The duration is equal. The experience is not.

Even the body does not keep one emotional tempo. At an illustrative average, the heart may beat about one hundred thousand times and breathing may occur about twenty-three thousand times in a day. Those are estimates, not universal facts about every person. Heart and breathing rates change with sleep, exertion, illness, and stress. A difficult conversation can be accompanied by tightened muscles and quicker breathing; another person in the same conversation may show a different pattern. The lesson is not that every heartbeat is scientifically unique. It is that life is being lived by an active, responsive organism, not by a calendar.

This matters because we sometimes judge people as though everyone entered the encounter with the same history and bodily condition. We say, "I would never have reacted that way." Perhaps we would not. But we do not know the entire sequence of experiences, expectations, and physiological demands carried by the person we are judging. That uncertainty should make us more curious, not less accountable.

What we practiced may now be speaking for us

A child learns more than the lessons adults announce. A child learns from the way a disagreement ends, from the silence after an apology is withheld, from the way strength is rewarded, and from the ways people protect themselves when they feel powerless. Some lessons are deliberate. Others arrive without a formal teacher. A boy may learn that anger creates distance when he feels threatened. A girl may learn that saying nothing keeps the peace. These strategies can be understandable in one environment and costly in another.

Years later, the same person may enter a marriage, workplace, or friendship carrying a response that once seemed necessary. A partner asks a simple question and receives a defensive answer. A supervisor offers correction and is met with a raised voice. The present moment may be ordinary, but the interpretation is shaped by old expectations. The person is not condemned to repeat the past. Yet change becomes difficult when the learned response is mistaken for an unchangeable identity.

This is why I distinguish Human Construction from Human Deconstruction. Construction asks how a response developed. Deconstruction asks whether the meaning attached to the present event is accurate. The person who says, "They are trying to embarrass me," can learn to ask, "What did they actually say? What evidence supports my conclusion? What else could explain it?" Such questions do not erase emotion. They create a more disciplined relationship between feeling and action.

The Law of the Second is not a promise of perfection

I teach the Law of the Second because a brief interruption can matter. Sometimes one second is enough to stop a cruel sentence from leaving the mouth. Sometimes it is enough to notice that the hands have tightened or that the voice is rising. Sometimes the safest decision is to leave the room or call for help. But no one should mistake this principle for a claim that every person can always regulate every reaction within exactly one second. Fear, fatigue, danger, illness, and deeply practiced responses complicate the process.

The practical value of the law begins with rehearsal before the difficult moment. A person can practice allowing another speaker to finish. A parent can practice asking a question instead of issuing an accusation. A driver can practice returning attention to the road rather than answering an insult. When the encounter comes, the person is not inventing a new response from nothing. The person is attempting to use a response already practiced. This is where small actions, repeated over time, become relevant to transformation.

Our choices do not make us invulnerable. They can, however, make us better prepared. Self-governance is not the absence of emotion. It is the growing capacity to recognize what is happening, judge the circumstances accurately, and select a response that does not unnecessarily surrender tomorrow to yesterday.

Six questions that can change the way we live

The Architecture Spine of Human Becoming offers six questions rather than six labels to place on other people. Construction asks, “What shaped this pattern?” Obstruction asks, “What is standing in the way?” Deconstruction asks, “What part of my explanation needs examination?” Reconstruction asks, “What different action can I practice?” Transformation asks, “What evidence shows that my practice is becoming more reliable?” Becoming asks, “What kind of person am I repeatedly training myself to be?” These questions can be revisited in any order as circumstances demand.

Consider two brothers who remember the same father differently. One says the father was strict and fair. Another says the father was distant and frightening. Instead of demanding immediate agreement, each could identify particular events, what he felt, what he inferred, and what he now believes. Their memories may still differ. Yet the conversation can become less about winning a verdict on childhood and more about understanding how childhood continues to influence the present. The goal is not to force one family story. It is to become more accurate and responsible in the stories we carry.

Now consider a person who repeatedly interrupts others. The person can spend years explaining why everyone else talks too long. Or the person can record the next five conversations, notice when interruption occurs, and practice waiting until the other speaker finishes. That practice is modest enough to observe. It is also demanding enough to reveal how strongly a habit has been constructed. Transformation begins to become credible when a different response survives ordinary inconvenience.

An invitation to examine your own construction

Before judging a family member for remembering childhood differently, ask what position that person occupied in the household and what events that person may have experienced outside your view. Before condemning your younger self, ask which responses were learned in conditions you did not choose. Before excusing your present behavior on the basis of those conditions, ask whether you now have a responsibility to practice something different. Compassion without accountability becomes avoidance. Accountability without investigation can become cruelty.

For the next seven days, select one recurring response. Write down the observable event, your immediate interpretation, the bodily signals you noticed, the action you took, and the result. Then choose one specific alternative to rehearse. Do not count a day without the relevant situation as a victory over the habit. Record the opportunities that actually occur. At the end of the week, ask what the record supports and what it does not. You may discover that the pattern is stronger than you imagined, or that a small interruption is already becoming more available.

The deepest question is not whether my childhood was identical to yours. It was not. The question is whether I am willing to examine the lessons I have carried forward without realizing how thoroughly I have rehearsed them. The world may be our common classroom, but life is each individual's laboratory. We enter with different histories. We learn different lessons. And through deliberate examination and practice, we can influence some of the lessons we carry into the future.

The same house does not produce the same childhood

Imagine a family with several children living through a period of financial strain. One child observes the adults working long hours and develops admiration for persistence. Another experiences their absence as emotional distance. A third becomes responsible for younger siblings and learns to anticipate others' needs. These interpretations can coexist without implying that the household had three unrelated realities.

Years later, the first adult may work excessively, the second may seek repeated reassurance, and the third may struggle to delegate. These are hypothetical pathways, not diagnoses or inevitable consequences. A profile interview would seek evidence for each proposed link, including later experiences that reinforced or contradicted the early lesson.

Each individual would require a different practice plan. One might learn to rest without guilt; another might learn to tolerate uncertainty in relationships; another might practice asking for help. The shared architecture supplies questions, while the individual history supplies the content to investigate.

What the body contributes to the story

Breathing is an especially useful teaching example because it is automatically regulated yet also open to voluntary influence. A person can sometimes notice a rapid pattern and experiment with a comfortable slower pace. This may support regulation in certain circumstances, but it does not erase danger, solve a relationship dispute, or replace medical care.

The Six Manifestations of Energy™ gives respiration an early and memorable position in its educational sequence. That is a practical choice about where to direct attention. It should not be presented as proof that breathing always precedes thought or emotion in the causal order. Bodily and psychological processes influence one another continuously.

Instructors should invite gentle, comfortable practice rather than prescribing rigid breath holds or extreme techniques. Participants with medical concerns should follow appropriate professional guidance. A useful outcome is not a particular breath count; it is the participant's improved ability to recognize cues and select safe, proportionate actions.

When an old lesson enters a new argument

Two colleagues disagree about how to complete a task. One hears a technical criticism and asks for clarification. The other hears an attack on competence and raises his voice. The difference may reflect prior experiences, current stress, organizational power, or the wording of the exchange. It would be premature to assign a childhood cause from one episode.

The second colleague begins with observation: what was said, what was inferred, and what happened in the body. Deconstruction tests whether the statement necessarily implied contempt. Reconstruction rehearses a question that invites specificity. Transformation is evaluated across later

disagreements. Becoming asks whether the colleague is developing a reputation for accountable, proportionate responses.

The organization has responsibilities too. If feedback is routinely delivered with humiliation, individual regulation training alone is insufficient. An operational model must examine both individual practice and the environment in which the practice is expected to occur.

What to practice when you recognize yourself

An intention such as “I will be more patient” is too vague to test. Reconstruction becomes operational when the learner specifies a situation, an observable action, a cue for remembering it, and a method for reviewing what happened. The practice must be small enough to attempt under ordinary conditions and meaningful enough to address a real problem.

A participant might state: “When I receive criticism, I will let the speaker finish and ask one clarifying question before answering.” The next step is to rehearse the sentence, identify likely obstacles, and record actual opportunities. If criticism never occurs during the observation period, the participant has not yet demonstrated the skill under the relevant conditions.

A useful plan includes alternatives for setbacks. After an interruption, the learner can acknowledge it and invite the speaker to finish. This repair is itself observable behavior. Reconstruction does not require a perfect record; it requires a method for noticing errors and returning to the intended practice.

How to know whether change is real

Transformation should be reserved for change that extends beyond a single successful moment. One calm conversation may be encouraging, but it cannot establish a stable new pattern. Repeated observations across situations, ideally including challenging ones, provide stronger evidence. Self-report is useful but can be distorted by memory and a desire to appear improved.

A practical measurement plan defines an opportunity and a target behavior before tracking begins. For example, the opportunity is a conversation containing disagreement; the target is allowing the other person to finish before replying. The participant records opportunities, attempts, outcomes, and contextual factors. A percentage without a denominator or a clear definition is misleading.

The framework should also monitor unintended effects. A person might interrupt less but become resentfully silent. A better outcome includes respectful participation and the ability to repair mistakes. Transformation is not merely the disappearance of one visible behavior; it is improvement in the quality and sustainability of functioning.

What’s the Big Idea?

We may share a family address without sharing the same childhood. We may share an event without assigning it the same meaning. Human Construction asks how our patterns developed; Human Obstruction identifies what impedes a worthwhile purpose; Human Deconstruction questions them; Human Reconstruction practices alternatives; Human Transformation looks for sustained evidence; and Human Becoming asks what those practices are producing. The past deserves investigation. The future deserves deliberate practice.