Who Have You Practiced Becoming?

A Standalone Journal Essay

The Daily Disciplines of Self-Mastery

By Grandmaster Ralph Anthony Kemmerlin, Sr.
Master Conflictologist | Founder, CON360MASTERY™
Human Becoming Journal™

Self-Mastery in Practice

Original webpage: https://con360mastery.com/journal/who-have-you-practiced-becoming

---

If you intend to master yourself, what exactly will you practice today?

That question deserves a concrete answer. “I will be more patient” expresses an intention. What will you do when someone interrupts you? “I will control my anger” identifies a goal. What will you practice when a familiar accusation arrives in a familiar tone? “I am becoming a better person” makes a claim. What would the people living and working beside you observe that would support it?

Self-mastery requires daily disciplines that can survive contact with ordinary life. We need principles we can remember while irritated, disappointed, flattered, criticized, or tempted to have the last word. We need ways to examine our conduct after the moment has passed. Otherwise, the language of growth can become more developed than the behavior it is supposed to guide.

My own journey has taught me that ability and self-governance do not automatically develop together. A person can become physically skilled, professionally accomplished, and publicly respected while remaining poorly practiced in handling correction, disappointment, or disagreement. I have had to examine that distance in my own life. The examination becomes useful when it changes what I practice next.

The Architecture Spine of Human Becoming™ provides a framework for doing that work. Construction asks how a response developed. Obstruction identifies the external barriers and internal habits that interfere with responsible action. Deconstruction examines whether it still deserves our loyalty. Reconstruction builds a more responsible alternative. Transformation concerns the change that becomes evident through repeated conduct. Becoming directs our attention toward the person those repetitions continue to shape.

We can apply one or several of these positions to a particular problem. We need not force every experience through all six. What matters is whether the examination helps us act with greater judgment.

The following principles are among the daily disciplines through which I make that work practical.

“Invisibility precedes invincibility.”

I use this principle to remind myself that much of the work must occur before recognition arrives. Invisibility means being willing to practice without announcing every effort, seeking applause, or requiring witnesses. Invincibility is an aspiration toward steadiness; no person becomes immune to error, injury, or influence.

Suppose you are working on your temper. You could announce that you have changed and then become frustrated when others remain cautious. Or you could quietly practice allowing people to finish, lowering your voice, and returning to unresolved matters responsibly. Over time, people can form their own conclusions from what they experience.

The daily discipline is to complete one meaningful act of self-governance without seeking credit for it. Keep a commitment. Resist an unnecessary argument. Correct an error before someone discovers it. Let the practice have value even when nobody praises it.

“Speech that is insincere is best left unspoken.”

Words can be used to create an appearance that our intentions do not support. We offer agreement to gain favor, make promises to end uncomfortable conversations, or deliver apologies while preparing to repeat the conduct.

Before speaking, ask: Do I mean this? Can I support it? Am I prepared to follow through?

If someone requests help you cannot provide, an honest answer protects both parties: “I cannot take responsibility for that this week.” If you are uncertain, say so. If you regret your conduct, name what you did and what you intend to change. “I spoke disrespectfully. Next time I become that frustrated, I will pause the conversation before continuing” gives an apology practical substance.

The daily discipline is to make fewer careless commitments and honor the ones you make. At day’s end, examine whether your words created expectations your actions failed to meet.

“Before speaking, consider the environment; before acting, consider the consequences.”

A statement arrives somewhere. It is heard by particular people, under particular conditions. Judgment requires attention to that setting.

A correction delivered privately may help someone learn. The same correction delivered before coworkers may introduce embarrassment that complicates the lesson. A sensitive family matter raised during a celebration may place everyone in a situation they did not choose.

Before speaking, consider who is present, what is happening, and whether the moment supports your purpose. Before acting, consider the likely immediate and later consequences. What might this accomplish? What might it damage? What responsibility will follow?

The daily discipline is to pause before one consequential conversation or decision and deliberately assess the setting and likely effects. When urgency is real, the pause may be brief. It should still contain thought.

“With the opening of the mouth comes friend or foe.”

Speech influences whether another person experiences us as approachable, threatening, respectful, or contemptuous. We cannot control every interpretation, but we remain responsible for the words and manner we choose.

Consider the difference between “You never listen” and “I want to finish this thought before you respond.” The first passes judgment on the person. The second identifies a behavior and states a need. Neither guarantees agreement, but the second gives the conversation a more workable direction.

Practice an opening sentence that serves the outcome you want: “Help me understand what happened.” “I disagree, and I want to explain why.” “We need to address this without insulting each other.”

The daily discipline is to examine your first sentence before delivering it. Does it invite useful engagement, establish a necessary boundary, or merely discharge your irritation?

“A question is not the most important thing; the motivation for asking it is.”

Questions deserve examination because they can serve very different purposes. We may ask to understand, assist, embarrass, trap, or confirm something we have already decided.

“Why did you do that?” can express curiosity or accusation. If I am asking only to prepare my rebuttal, I should recognize that my listening is already compromised.

Before questioning someone, ask yourself: What am I trying to learn? Am I willing to hear an answer that challenges my assumption? Does this person have a reasonable opportunity to answer without being punished for honesty?

The daily discipline is to ask one sincere question and listen to the complete answer before preparing a response. If the answer is unclear, seek clarification. Investigative curiosity becomes useful when discovery remains possible.

“Let a statement stand as it is given.”

Many conflicts expand because we attach an unspoken message to spoken words. “I need some time alone” becomes “I no longer care about you.” “This needs revision” becomes “You are incompetent.” We begin responding to an interpretation as though it were a confirmed statement.

This principle asks us to distinguish what was said from what we supplied. It does not require ignoring a history of deception or overlooking credible warning signs. It requires checking meaning before treating inference as fact.

When uncertain, ask: “When you say you need space, what does that mean for this evening?” Or: “Which part needs revision?” A precise question can prevent a vague statement from becoming a large accusation.

The daily discipline is to catch one assumption before acting on it. Identify the actual words, identify your interpretation, and determine whether clarification is needed.

"When criticized, consider the source."

Considering the source involves assessing a person's knowledge, opportunity to observe, motives, and manner of delivery. It must also include examining the substance of the criticism.

Someone we dislike may identify a real problem. Someone we admire may misunderstand the situation. A harsh delivery may contain a useful observation, even though we should address the disrespect separately.

When criticized, ask: What specific behavior is being identified? Did this person observe it directly? Is there evidence I should examine? What part, if any, requires correction?

The daily discipline is to delay your defense long enough to identify one potentially useful point. You can say, "I want to consider what you have said," without agreeing to every accusation. Self-mastery includes receiving information without surrendering judgment.

"Context magnifies content."

The meaning and effect of words depend partly on the conditions surrounding them. Timing, tone, relationship, history, audience, and differences in authority can increase their weight.

"Come see me" may sound routine from a friend and alarming from a supervisor after a serious mistake. A joke between trusted companions may become humiliating when repeated before strangers. A seemingly small remark may carry years of unresolved history into a conversation.

The daily discipline is to examine the surrounding conditions before judging a statement or choosing your own. If someone reacts strongly, consider asking, "What did you hear me saying?" Their answer may reveal an implication you did not intend and still need to address.

Putting the Principles Through the Architecture

Consider a familiar situation: someone corrects you in front of others, and you feel an immediate urge to retaliate.

Construction invites you to examine what correction has come to mean in your life. Perhaps you learned to associate being corrected with humiliation. That history is a question to investigate, rather than a conclusion to impose.

Obstruction asks what interferes with your purpose in this encounter. The public setting may need to change. Your urge to retaliate may also impede a useful response. Distinguishing the setting from your own habit helps you decide what can be addressed and what support or boundary is needed.

Deconstruction asks whether the present correction actually establishes what you fear. Did the person identify an error, insult you, or do both? What evidence supports your reading?

Reconstruction gives you a response to rehearse: "I will review the correction. I would also like us to discuss the concern privately." You can acknowledge accurate information while addressing how it was delivered.

Transformation becomes evident when you increasingly use that response, recover sooner after becoming defensive, and correct errors without prolonged resentment. Becoming asks what continued practice is producing: a person who can receive information, maintain boundaries, and act without making every uncomfortable moment a contest.

The framework now has something specific to work on. The principle has a situation in which to be practiced. Progress has behavior that can be observed.

A Daily Practice Readers Can Begin Tomorrow

Begin the morning by choosing one principle and one likely opportunity to use it. Be specific: "During today's staff meeting, I will let people complete their statements before responding." Or: "When my spouse raises a concern, I will ask what they mean before defending myself."

During the encounter, notice your first impulse. Where circumstances permit, take a moment to breathe and recall the principle. Then perform the action you selected. You may still feel irritated. The task is to practice responsible conduct while that feeling is present.

In the evening, record four things: what happened, what you initially wanted to do, what you actually did, and what needs further practice. A few honest sentences are sufficient. Include any repair you owe. If you interrupted, acknowledge it. If you made an insincere promise, correct the expectation. If you misunderstood, return and clarify.

At the end of the week, review your notes. Look for particular changes: fewer interruptions, fewer commitments abandoned, more assumptions checked, quicker acknowledgment of mistakes, or more consistent follow-through. Notice failures as well. What conditions made the practice harder? What preparation or assistance would help?

This is SAROTTU™ in daily use: Small Actions, Repeated Over Time, Transform Us. Choose a useful action, repeat it deliberately, examine its effects, and make adjustments.

Helping Others Practice Without Taking Over Their Journey

When using this approach with another person, begin with a situation they want to handle more effectively. Ask which principle could help and what its use would look like. Let them describe the action in their own words.

A student might practice asking for clarification before declaring an assignment unfair. A supervisor might practice giving correction privately. A parent might practice hearing a child's explanation before deciding on a consequence. A couple might agree to distinguish the words spoken from the motives each person assumes.

Return later to the experience: What happened? What did you notice? What worked? What needs another attempt? These questions encourage responsibility while leaving room for learning.

Our example matters throughout. If I teach careful speech, I must examine my own. If I ask others to receive correction, they must be able to correct me. The principles gain credibility through the conduct of the person presenting them.

What's the Big Idea?

Self-mastery needs a place on today's calendar and a recognizable form in today's behavior. Choose a principle. Identify the moment in which you need it. Practice the action it requires. Examine the result. Repair what you mishandle and return to the work.

The Architecture Spine of Human Becoming™ helps us understand and direct that process. Daily disciplines give us something to do with the understanding.

Before this day ends, what will you practice that gives tomorrow's conduct a better foundation?